

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexadria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,628	02/14/2002	James John Barton	-	7109
75	90 05/07/2003			
JAMES JOHN BARTON			EXAMINER	
657 NORTH STARR DRIVE Pickerington, OH 43147			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,628	BARTON, JAMES JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ula C Ruddock	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a color within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Fobruary 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14</u>	his action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application	l.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	rovisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	sac priority under 35 U.S.C	2. 33 120 dilu/01 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/074,628 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1771** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the degree symbol (°) is missing in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinmi (US 4,569,870). Shinmi discloses a reinforced elastomer-coated fabric material. The elastomer coated material can be used as building roofing materials (col 1, ln 40-41). The coated fabrics are laminated by using a pressure sensitive adhesive (col 2, ln 1-2). The elastomer component of the

Application/Control Number: 10/074,628

Art Unit: 1771

coating may be silicone rubber (col 2, ln 55-57). The fabric component may be a nonwoven mesh (col 2, ln 65-66). The fibers of the mesh include glass fiber fabric (col 3, ln 2). The thickness of the fabric is 0.1 to 5 mm or .0039 to .196 inches (col 3, ln 14). The pressure sensitive adhesive which is intercalated between the edges of the fabric can be an acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive.

Shinmi fails to disclose that the fiberglass scrim is saturated on the top surface to a depth of 1/10-9/10 into the thickness of the scrim and that the bottom of the scrim is coated with a pressure sensitive adhesive to the remaining 9/10 to 1/10 of the scrim's thickness. Shinmi also fail to disclose that the acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive has a Tg from about -40 to -50°C.

It should be noted that optimizing the coating thickness are result effective variables. For example, the thicker the coating on a fabric, the stronger the fabric is; the less coating there is on a fabric, the less strength the fabric has. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have coated the top surface to a depth of 1/10-9/10 into the thickness of the scrim and the bottom of the scrim coated with a pressure sensitive adhesive to the remaining 9/10 to 1/10 of the scrim's thickness, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the present invention, one would have optimized the coating depth on the both the top and bottom surface, motivated by the desire to create a roofing material with increased strength.

Although Shinmi does not explicitly teach that the acrylic has a Tg of -40 to -50°C, it is reasonable to presume that said Tg is inherent to the Shinmi invention. Support for said

Art Unit: 1771

presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. acrylic pressure sensitive adhesive used in roofing materials). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of an acrylic having a Tg of –40 to –50°C would obviously have been present once the Shinmi product is provided. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977).

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock WAL Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771 5/4/2003

Wa Ruddock